Score Reports

Leanne Ketterlin Geller, Amy Clark, Dawna Duke, Cary Rogers

2018 NCME Classroom Assessment Conference

Overview

Critical Design Features – Leanne Ketterlin Geller, Southern Methodist University

Design and Use – Amy Clark, University of Kansas: ATLAS

School Leader Perspective on Supporting Use – Dawna Duke, Southern Methodist University

Teacher Perspective on Using Reports – Cary Rogers, Kansas State Department of Education





Supporting Teachers' Use of Test Scores: Critical Design Features

Leanne R. Ketterlin-Geller, Beth Adams, Lindsey Perry, Anthony Sparks

Southern Methodist University



- Theory of action for data use
- Synthesized recommendations for design of score reports
 - Methods
 - Synthesized features
 - Instantiated example from a universal screener score report
- Conclusions and implications





Score reports impact educators' ability to interpret data and identify patterns.

Validity



- Purpose: Facilitate the intended uses and interpretations of the results by the intended audience
- Process: disseminate results via forms of communication

SMU Research on Interpretations of Score Reports

- Content does not align with the audiences' intended uses (e.g., teachers want instructionally relevant information) (c.f., Huff & Goodman, 2007; Ryan, 2006)
- Reports are not comprehensible by intended audience (e.g., statistical terms, symbols, grade equivalent scores) (c.f., Gotch & French, 2013; Hambleton & Slater, 1997; Ryan, 2006; Van der Kleig, Eggen, & Engelson, 2014; Wainer et al., 1999; Zapata-Rivera & VanWinkle, 2010)
- Information is difficult to interpret (e.g., unclear graphs, referents, cluttered/hard to find information) (c.f., Impara et al., 1991; Ryan, 2006; Ward, Hattie, & Brown, 2003)

Purpose of our Study



Methods for Synthesizing Literature

- 2 independent researchers
- Inclusion criteria
 - Peer reviewed journal articles, also considered technical reports or book chapters
 - Focus on <u>features of score</u> <u>reports</u> in the social sciences (e.g., education or psychology)
- Literature search
 - Resulting in <u>58 articles</u>
 - Narrowed to <u>45 articles</u>

- Article summaries (2 researchers separately)
 - Focusing on the feature type and description
- Article summary comparison and coding
 - Synthesizing across two summaries
 - First level codes focused on the overall purpose of the feature
 - Second level codes focused on the type of feature







Category Descriptions

- Attend to Audience
 - Provide statement of purpose
 - Include interactive components (e.g., pop-up definitions, game-like features)
 - Personalize
 - Use multiple representations

- Interpretive information
 - Convey instructionally relevant information
 - Provide interpretive guidelines
 - Interpret complex information:
 - Measurement error
 - Percentile ranks
 - Relation to referents



Category Descriptions

- Organization and layout
 - Facilitate interpretation in straightforward manner
 - Summarize information in easy to find way
 - Add meaning with selected font style and features
 - Use headings to organize
- Narrative information
 - Provide a narrative description of data
 - Use readable and comprehensible text
 - Use appropriate language and vocabulary

- Visual representations
 - Use clear and simple graphical displays
 - Use color and contrast to highlight important information
 - Use graphical renderings that are appropriate for the data
 - Use tables for smaller data sets or comparisons
 - Include numerical values with visuals
 - Label all graphical elements



	Feature	Summary of Ratings across Sub-features
	Attending to audience	2 out of 3
	Interpretive information	1 out of 5
•	Organization and layout	4 out of 4
	Narrative information	1 out of 3
	Visual representation of data	4 out of 6



Conclusions: Variability in Alignment

Often Included

- Audience: multiple representations, personalization
- Interpretive information: relation to referents
- Organization/layout: font style, headings, summary (usually graphic)
- Narrative: readable text
- Visuals: clear display, color, tables

Often Omitted

- Audience: statement of purpose
- Interpretive information: instructionally relevant info, measurement error, context for PR, interpretive guidelines
- Organization/layout: uncluttered
- Narrative: describe results, define terms
- Visual displays: labels, appropriate graphs, numbers in graphs



- Variability makes common interpretive lens difficult
 - Pre-service preparation
 - In-service professional development
- Lack of literature-supported features impacts test users' ability to interpret data and identify patterns, which then calls into question the integrity of the instructional changes that result from using the data







Leanne Ketterlin Geller: LKGELLER@smu.edu

Score Reports Designed for Instructional Use

Amy Clark





Overview

- Results should be
 - interpretable
 - informative
 - useful to teachers' instructional decision-making
- Report development and evaluation process should include teachers
 - Sharing a few of those approaches today



Background on DLM Alternate Assessments

- Include instructionally embedded assessments taken during the year
 - Teachers have flexibility in standards and levels assessed within blueprint constraints
- Basis of reporting is the set of diagnostic mastery classifications



Instructionally Embedded Assessment





Research Initiative

- Design and Development
 - Began with teacher interviews and focus groups reacting to prototypes
- Interpretation and Use
 - Interviews and focus groups with teachers on interpretation and how they use summative reports to plan instruction in the subsequent academic year
 - Survey data collection on progress report use



Types of Reports

- Progress Reports
 - Available on demand during instructionally embedded testing
- Summative Reporting:
 - Learning Profile summarizes specific skill mastery
 - Performance Profile summarizes overall achievement



Research Questions

- 1. What design **features** of reports are most **useful** to teachers?
- 2. How do teachers **interpret** results?
- 3. How do teachers use reports to inform instructional decision-making?



ata Extracts	Criteria	J District	Scho	ool (Subject	
nloads	Reset 001	Demo District	001 Demo Sc	hool 2 Englis	h Language Arts	I
ate Assessments						
al Reports itoring Summary	02-20-2017					Save
tructionally ibedded Individual Student Progress Report for Jane Demo 001 Demo School 2, 001 Demo District, DLM QC State Grade 3, English Language Arts						
ind	Clair Area: ELA C1 1 Det	m: ELA.C1 Student	ts can comprehend	text in increasingly	complex ways.	Conceptual
lent (Individual)	Grade Level	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		Level 5
ents (Bundled)	Expectation ELA.EE.RI.3.1 Answer who and what questions to demonstrate understanding of details in a text.	attend to object characteristics	identify familiar people, objects, places, events	identify concrete details in an informational text	identify/answer questions about concrete details Attempted: 02/20	identify words related to explicit information
	Identify details in a			Planned		
	ELA.EE.RL.3.3 Identify the feelings of characters in a story.	identify feeling states in self Mastered: 02/20	identify feeling words and character feelings	identify feeling words and character feelings	identify character feelings and relate to actions	identify character feelings and relate to actions
	Claim: ELA.C1 Students can comprehend text in increasingly complex ways. Conceptual					
	Grade Level Expectation	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
	ELA.EE.RI.3.9 Identify similarities between two texts on the same topic.	attend to object characteristics	identify familiar people, objects, places, events	identify concrete details in an informational text	compare informational texts on the same topic Attempted: 02/20	compare informational texts on the same topic
	Claim: ELA.C1 Students can comprehend text in increasingly complex ways. Conceptual					
	Grade Level	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
	ELA.EE.RL.3.4 Determine words and phrases that complete literal	1			Planned	



REPORT DATE: 06-06-2018 SUBJECT: English language arts GRADE: 10

NAME: Student DLM DISTRICT: DLM District ID SCHOOL: DLM School Individual Student Year-End Report Learning Profile 2017-18



DISTRICT ID: DLM District STATE: DLM State

Student's performance in 10th grade English language arts Essential Elements is summarized below. This information is based on all of the DLM tests Student took during the 2017-18 school year. Grade 10 had 19 Essential Elements in 4 Conceptual Areas available for instruction during the 2017-18 school year. The minimum required number of Essential Elements for testing in 10th grade was 10. Student was tested on 17 Essential Elements in 4 of the 4 Conceptual Areas.

In order to master an Essential Element, a student must master a series of skills leading up to the specific skill identified in the Essential Element. This table describes what skills your child demonstrated in the assessment and how those skills compare to grade level expectations.

		Level Mastery				
Area	Essential Element	1	22	3	(Target)	5
ELA.C1.2	ELA.L.9- 10.4.a	Identify familiar objects through property word descriptors	ldentify definition of words	Identify missing words using sentence context	Use semantic clues to identify word meaning	Use semantic clues to identify phrase meaning
ELA.C1.2	ELA.L.9- 10.5.b	Draw conclusions from category knowledge	ldentify the multiple meanings of a word	Identity word meaning of multiple meaning words using context clues	ldentify the intended meaning of multiple meaning words	Understand how multiple meaning words can result in humor
ELA.C1.2	ELA.RI.9- 10.1	ldentify concrete details in a familiar informational text	ldəntify concrətə dətails in an informational təxt	Cite textual evidence for inferred information	Discriminate between citations for explicit and inferred information	Cite evidence for a text's specific meaning

Levels mastered this year

No evidence of mastery on this Essential Element

Page 1 of 4

Essential Element not tested

@The University of Kansas. All rights reserved. For educational purposes only. May not be used for commercial or other purposes without permission. "Dynamic Learning Maps" is a trademark of The University of Kansas.



REPORT DATE: 06-06-2018 SUBJECT: English language arts GRADE: 10 Individual Student Year-End Report Performance Profile 2017-18



NAME: Student DLM DISTRICT: DLM District SCHOOL: DLM School DISTRICT ID: DLM District ID STATE: DLM State

Overall Results

Students in Grade 10 English language arts are expected to be administered assessments covering 50 skills for 10 Essential Elements. Student mastered 17 skills during the year.

Overall, Student's mastery of English language arts fell into the first of four performance categories: **emerging**. The specific skills Student has and has not mastered can be found in Student's Learning Profile.



Еме	RGING	The student demonstrates emerging understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.
App The	ROACHING TARGET:	The student's understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is approaching the target .
АтТ	ARGET:	The student's understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is at target .
Adv	ANCED:	The student demonstrates advanced understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.

A student who achieves at the **emerging** performance level typically can identify objects associated with a text, identify text elements, demonstrate an understanding of language, and identify text structure when reading literature and informational text.

The student identifies objects associated with a text by:

- using property words to identify familiar objects
- · identifying objects within a category
- · understanding subgroups of objects within acategory
- The student identifies text elements by:
- identifying details in a familiartext

 ${\bf c}$ The University of Kansas. All rights reserved. For educational purposes only. May not be used for commercial or other purposes without permission.



IC

APS

Design Features

- Reports were easy to read and understand
- Paid little attention to narrative statements
- Valued the fine-grained skill statements in the Learning Profile
 - Liked focus on mastery over deficits
- Performance Profile provided a high-level snapshot of performance



Interpretation

Asked to imagine talking to parent

- Tended to describe in terms of skill mastery over performance level results or number of skills mastered
- Bar charts over performance level results
- Bar charts of % of mastered skills often misinterpreted as % correct or % of trials



Progress Report Use

- 1487 teacher responses to survey
 - One survey per student



Progress Reports Created for the Student



Teacher Use of Progress Reports



Teacher Use of Summative Reports in Subsequent Academic Year

- Use fine-grained mastery to plan instruction in subsequent grade
 - Varied in prioritizing depth in related standard versus breadth across standards
- Summarize mastery to plan instruction for students working on the same skills across standards
- Describe overall reports to parents
- Specify and evaluate progress toward IEP goals



Key Takeaways

- 1. Design reports to support teacher needs
- 2. Utility for diagnostic results
 - instructional use within and across years, IEP goal setting, & instructional groupings
- 3. Opportunities to provide additional guidance to support accurate interpretation





THANK YOU!

For more information: <u>www.dynamiclearningmaps.org</u>

> Amy Clark <u>akclark@ku.edu</u>



The Perspective of an Urban School Leader

Dawna Duke

A Comparison of District Mandated Math Assessments for Grade 3

Traditional Public School	Higher Performing Charter	Lower Performing Charter
Does Not Use Tri-Weekly Assessments	EXEMPT from MANDATED Tri-Weekly Assessments Due to High Standardized Test Scores	9 Tri-Weekly Assessments X 75 min = 9 days
6 Common Six-Weeks Assessments X 90 min = 7.2 days	2 Common Quarterly Assessments X 120 min = 3.2 days	2 Common Quarterly Assessments X 120 min = 3.2 days
1 Rehearsal Summative State Assessment X 240 min = 3.2 days	1 Common 3rd Quarter Assessment X 240 min = 3.2 days	1 Common 3rd Quarter Assessment X 240 min = 3.2 days
1 ACP Test X 180 min = 2.4 days	3 MAP Tests	3 MAP Tests X /5 min = 3 days
Total instructional days of mathematics: 12.8	Total instructional days of mathematics: 9.4	Total instructional days of mathematics: 18.4

The kids with the highest instructional needs are systemically losing access to quality instruction because they are taking mandated tests.

Source: <u>Texas Education Agency: TAPR Report 2016-2017</u>

Data. Score Reports. More Data.

We had it all.

Score Reports can be a Barrier for Teachers

"That assessment was just bad! I don't care what the score report says!"

"I didn't even get teach everything yet, and had to give the test on Monday!"

"I don't even get the score report for weeks... at this point it's too late!" "I look at the report and it's a bunch of numbers. I don't even know what to do with it."

Score Reports can be a Barrier for School Leaders



The reports do not inform instruction.

The next step is not granular.

key words for prob	iem solving with
+add +add	ed to +all
+in all +al	together
+both +com	vined + extra
+increased by	+MORE
+more than 🛛	perimeter
+ P L U S	+ s u m
+together	+TOTAL
+TOTA	LOF
	© 2015 abcitech cor

The implication is test prep versus schema

"Learning how to change score reports into actions allowed me to see precisely what part of each skill my students were struggling with and then give them a tool to fix it. I could efficiently reach all of my students; something I was never able to find the time to do before."

- Haley, 3rd Grade Teacher

From Barriers to Benefits: Understand the End-User

Why	What	How	
"Look at this data! Why did only 25% of my students get it?"	"I tried to teach this and I just didn't know what to do or say."	"This group of kids isn't getting it. I don't know how to reach them." "I don't know how!"	
like this"	looks like."		
Mission + Vision + Impact Always point back to how kids learn.	Define the objective. Share structures. What does exemplary teaching of look	Model lessons. Show them videos. Pull out the strategies. Break down the components.	
Look at research & impact.	This teacher needs to	This person needs a thought partner.	

"As an educator, I strive to develop and present meaningful lessons to my students in a highly engaging and relevant manner. There are times that despite the planning and intent behind the lesson, some students still may not get it.

In those moments it is of the utmost importance to have a school leader work side by side with you to develop and facilitate instructional change; guiding, sometimes listening to the frustration and fear, to help create and plan meaningful interventions and reteach of standards.

I have been lucky enough to have had that guidance and support by a leader as well as access to a program that tracks data and aids in instructional change for the better."

- Jessica, 3rd Grade Teacher

SUPPORTING TEACHERS USE OF SCORE REPORTS

Cary Rogers

Education Program Consultant

Kansas State Department of Education

Kansas leads the world in the success of each student.



TEACHERS PERSPECTIVE

- Performance category
- Typical skills of performance level
- Student learning profile
- Strengths and needs
 - Specific skills for PLAAFP
 - Next steps
 - Planning and monitoring

STATE PERSPECTIVE

- Skill specific data for present levels
- Using score reports when reviewing DLM participation guidelines each year
- Students performing at target or advanced in multiple subject areas



Discussion and Questions