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Agenda

• Theory of action for data use

• Synthesized recommendations for design of score reports
  – Methods
  – Synthesized features
  – Instantiated example from a universal screener score report

• Conclusions and implications
Score reports impact educators’ ability to interpret data and identify patterns.

Validity
Importance of Score Reports

- **Purpose:** Facilitate the *intended uses and interpretations* of the results by the *intended audience*

- **Process:** disseminate results via forms of communication
Research on Interpretations of Score Reports

• Content does not align with the audiences’ intended uses (e.g., teachers want instructionally relevant information) (c.f., Huff & Goodman, 2007; Ryan, 2006)

• Reports are not comprehensible by intended audience (e.g., statistical terms, symbols, grade equivalent scores) (c.f., Gotch & French, 2013; Hambleton & Slater, 1997; Ryan, 2006; Van der Kleig, Eggen, & Engelson, 2014; Wainer et al., 1999; Zapata-Rivera & VanWinkle, 2010)

• Information is difficult to interpret (e.g., unclear graphs, referents, cluttered/hard to find information) (c.f., Impara et al., 1991; Ryan, 2006; Ward, Hattie, & Brown, 2003)

**Purpose of our Study**
Methods for Synthesizing Literature

- 2 independent researchers

Inclusion criteria
- Peer reviewed journal articles, also considered technical reports or book chapters
- Focus on features of score reports in the social sciences (e.g., education or psychology)

- Literature search
  - Resulting in 58 articles
  - Narrowed to 45 articles

- Article summaries (2 researchers separately)
  - Focusing on the feature type and description

- Article summary comparison and coding
  - Synthesizing across two summaries
  - First level codes focused on the overall purpose of the feature
  - Second level codes focused on the type of feature
Main Categories from Literature

Evidence Based Features

- Attend to Audience
- Interpretive Information
- Organization and Layout

Visual Representations

Narrative Information
Category Descriptions

• Attend to Audience
  – Provide statement of purpose
  – Include interactive components (e.g., pop-up definitions, game-like features)
  – Personalize
  – Use multiple representations

• Interpretive information
  – Convey instructionally relevant information
  – Provide interpretive guidelines
  – Interpret complex information:
    • Measurement error
    • Percentile ranks
    • Relation to referents
Category Descriptions

- **Organization and layout**
  - Facilitate interpretation in straightforward manner
  - Summarize information in easy to find way
  - Add meaning with selected font style and features
  - Use headings to organize

- **Narrative information**
  - Provide a narrative description of data
  - Use readable and comprehensible text
  - Use appropriate language and vocabulary

- **Visual representations**
  - Use clear and simple graphical displays
  - Use color and contrast to highlight important information
  - Use graphical renderings that are appropriate for the data
  - Use tables for smaller data sets or comparisons
  - Include numerical values with visuals
  - Label all graphical elements
## Summary of Findings for Sample Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Summary of Ratings across Sub-features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attending to audience</td>
<td>2 out of 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpretive information</td>
<td>1 out of 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization and layout</td>
<td>4 out of 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narrative information</td>
<td>1 out of 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual representation of data</td>
<td>4 out of 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions: Variability in Alignment

**Often Included**
- Audience: multiple representations, personalization
- Interpretive information: *relation to referents*
- Organization/layout: font style, headings, summary (usually graphic)
- Narrative: readable text
- Visuals: clear display, color, tables

**Often Omitted**
- Audience: statement of purpose
- Interpretive information: instructionally relevant info, measurement error, context for PR, interpretive guidelines
- Organization/layout: uncluttered
- Narrative: describe results, define terms
- Visual displays: labels, appropriate graphs, numbers in graphs
Implications

• Variability makes common interpretive lens difficult
  – Pre-service preparation
  – In-service professional development

• Lack of literature-supported features impacts test users’ ability to interpret data and identify patterns, which then calls into question the integrity of the instructional changes that result from using the data

Validity
Leanne Ketterlin Geller: LKGELLER@smu.edu
Score Reports Designed for Instructional Use

Amy Clark
Overview

• Results should be
  – interpretable
  – informative
  – useful to teachers’ instructional decision-making

• Report development and evaluation process should include teachers
  – Sharing a few of those approaches today
Background on DLM Alternate Assessments

• Include instructionally embedded assessments taken during the year
  – Teachers have flexibility in standards and levels assessed within blueprint constraints

• Basis of reporting is the set of diagnostic mastery classifications
Instructionally Embedded Assessment

Instruction → Mastery → Assessment → Instruction
Research Initiative

• Design and Development
  – Began with teacher interviews and focus groups reacting to prototypes

• Interpretation and Use
  – Interviews and focus groups with teachers on interpretation and how they use summative reports to plan instruction in the subsequent academic year
  – Survey data collection on progress report use
Types of Reports

• Progress Reports
  – Available on demand during instructionally embedded testing

• Summative Reporting:
  – Learning Profile summarizes specific skill mastery
  – Performance Profile summarizes overall achievement
Research Questions

1. What design features of reports are most useful to teachers?
2. How do teachers interpret results?
3. How do teachers use reports to inform instructional decision-making?
### Individual Student Progress Report for Jane Demo
02-20-2017
001 Demo School 2, 001 Demo District, DLM OC State
Grade 3, English Language Arts

**Claim: ELA.C1 Students can comprehend text in increasingly complex ways.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area: ELA.C1.1 Determine critical elements of text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade Level Expectation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELA.C1.1.3.1 Answer questions about main ideas of events or ideas in a text.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELA.C1.1.3.2 Identify details in a text.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELA.C1.1.3.3 Identify the feelings of characters in a story.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conceptual:**
- Identify details in a text.
- Identify main ideas from a story.
- Identify character feelings and actions.

**Claim: ELA.C1 Students can integrate ideas and information from text.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area: ELA.C1.3 Integrate ideas and information from text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade Level Expectation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELA.C1.3.1.1 Identify text features on the same topic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELA.C1.3.1.2 Identify text features on the same topic.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conceptual:**
- Compare information on the same topic.
- Compare informational events on the same topic.

**Claim: ELA.C1 Students can comprehend text in increasingly complex ways.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area: ELA.C1.2 Construct understandings of text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade Level Expectation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELA.C1.2.1.3 Determine words and phrases that connect past and future text.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conceptual:**
- Identify words and phrases that connect past and future text.

---

This report provides student results as of the current date. These results do not guarantee the student's overall performance at the end of the year.
Student’s performance in 10th grade English language arts Essential Elements is summarized below. This information is based on all of the DLM tests Student took during the 2017-18 school year. Grade 10 had 19 Essential Elements in 4 Conceptual Areas available for instruction during the 2017-18 school year. The minimum required number of Essential Elements for testing in 10th grade was 10. Student was tested on 17 Essential Elements in 4 of the 4 Conceptual Areas.

In order to master an Essential Element, a student must master a series of skills leading up to the specific skill identified in the Essential Element. This table describes what skills your child demonstrated in the assessment and how those skills compare to grade level expectations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Essential Element</th>
<th>Level Mastery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELA.C1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify familiar objects through property word descriptors</td>
<td>Identify definition of words</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELA.C1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draw conclusions from category knowledge</td>
<td>Identify the multiple meanings of a word</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELA.RI.10.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify concrete details in a familiar informational text</td>
<td>Identify concrete details in an informational text</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Overall Results

Students in Grade 10 English language arts are expected to be administered assessments covering 50 skills for 10 Essential Elements. Student mastered 17 skills during the year. Overall, Student’s mastery of English language arts fall into the first of four performance categories: emerging. The specific skills Student has and has not mastered can be found in Student’s Learning Profile.

Emerging: The student demonstrates *emerging* understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.

Approaching the Target: The student's understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is *approaching the target*.

At Target: The student’s understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements is *at target*.

Advanced: The student demonstrates *advanced* understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by the Essential Elements.

A student who achieves at the *emerging* performance level typically can identify objects associated with a text, identify text elements, demonstrate an understanding of language, and identify text structure when reading literature and informational text.

The student identifies objects associated with a text by:

- using property words to identify familiar objects
- identifying objects within a category
- understanding subgroups of objects within a category

The student identifies text elements by:

- identifying details in a familiar text

More information about Student’s performance on each Essential Element that make up the Conceptual Areas is located in the Learning Profile.
Design Features

• Reports were easy to read and understand
• Paid little attention to narrative statements
• Valued the fine-grained skill statements in the Learning Profile
  – Liked focus on mastery over deficits
• Performance Profile provided a high-level snapshot of performance
Interpretation

Asked to imagine talking to parent

• Tended to describe in terms of *skill mastery* over performance level results or number of skills mastered

• **Bar charts** over performance level results

• Bar charts of % of mastered skills often **misinterpreted** as % correct or % of trials
Progress Report Use

• 1487 teacher responses to survey
  – One survey per student

Progress Reports Created for the Student

- 0: 13.6%
- 1: 10.9%
- 2: 12.4%
- 3: 30.2%
- 4: 25.1%
- 5+: 7.8%
Teacher Use of Progress Reports

- Document progress on IEP goals: 52.8%
- Share the results with a parent: 48.5%
- Plan next IEP: 42.5%
- Plan instructional next steps in same standard: 36%
- Plan instructional next steps in different standard: 29.3%
- Check completeness of embedded assessments: 28.9%
- Other: 16.2%
Teacher Use of Summative Reports in Subsequent Academic Year

• Use fine-grained mastery to plan instruction in subsequent grade
  – Varied in prioritizing depth in related standard versus breadth across standards

• Summarize mastery to plan instruction for students working on the same skills across standards

• Describe overall reports to parents

• Specify and evaluate progress toward IEP goals
Key Takeaways

1. Design reports to support teacher needs

2. Utility for diagnostic results
   – instructional use within and across years, IEP goal setting, & instructional groupings

3. Opportunities to provide additional guidance to support accurate interpretation
THANK YOU!

For more information:
www.dynamiclearningmaps.org

Amy Clark
akclark@ku.edu
The Perspective of an Urban School Leader

Dawna Duke
## A Comparison of District Mandated Math Assessments for Grade 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traditional Public School</th>
<th>Higher Performing Charter</th>
<th>Lower Performing Charter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does Not Use Tri-Weekly Assessments</td>
<td>EXEMPT from MANDATED Tri-Weekly Assessments Due to High Standardized Test Scores</td>
<td>9 Tri-Weekly Assessments X 75 min = 9 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Common Six-Weeks Assessments X 90 min = 7.2 days</td>
<td>2 Common Quarterly Assessments X 120 min = 3.2 days</td>
<td>2 Common Quarterly Assessments X 120 min = 3.2 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Rehearsal Summative State Assessment X 240 min = 3.2 days</td>
<td>1 Common 3rd Quarter Assessment X 240 min = 3.2 days</td>
<td>1 Common 3rd Quarter Assessment X 240 min = 3.2 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 ACP Test X 180 min = 2.4 days</td>
<td>3 MAP Tests X 75 min = 3 days</td>
<td>3 MAP Tests X 75 min = 3 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total instructional days of mathematics: 12.8</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total instructional days of mathematics: 9.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total instructional days of mathematics: 18.4</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The kids with the highest instructional needs are systemically losing access to quality instruction because they are taking mandated tests.
Data.
Score Reports.
More Data.

We had it all.
Score Reports can be a Barrier for Teachers

“That assessment was just bad! I don’t care what the score report says!”

“I didn’t even get teach everything yet, and had to give the test on Monday!”

“I don’t even get the score report for weeks… at this point it’s too late!”

“I look at the report and it’s a bunch of numbers. I don’t even know what to do with it.”
Score Reports can be a Barrier for School Leaders

The reports do not inform instruction.

The next step is not granular.

The implication is test prep versus schema.
“Learning how to change score reports into actions allowed me to see precisely what part of each skill my students were struggling with and then give them a tool to fix it. I could efficiently reach all of my students; something I was never able to find the time to do before.”

- Haley, 3rd Grade Teacher
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Why</th>
<th>What</th>
<th>How</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“Look at this data! Why did only 25% of my students get it?”</td>
<td>“I tried to teach this and I just didn’t know what to do or say.”</td>
<td>“This group of kids isn’t getting it. I don’t know how to reach them.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“I have always taught it like this…”</td>
<td>“I don’t know what this looks like.”</td>
<td>“I don’t know how!”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Always point back to how kids learn.</td>
<td>What does exemplary teaching of ______ look like?</td>
<td>Show them videos.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Look at research &amp; impact.</td>
<td>This teacher needs to</td>
<td>Pull out the strategies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>need a thought partner.</td>
<td>Break down the components.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
“As an educator, I strive to develop and present meaningful lessons to my students in a highly engaging and relevant manner. There are times that despite the planning and intent behind the lesson, some students still may not get it.

In those moments it is of the utmost importance to have a school leader work side by side with you to develop and facilitate instructional change; guiding, sometimes listening to the frustration and fear, to help create and plan meaningful interventions and reteach of standards.

I have been lucky enough to have had that guidance and support by a leader as well as access to a program that tracks data and aids in instructional change for the better.”

- Jessica, 3rd Grade Teacher
SUPPORTING TEACHERS USE OF SCORE REPORTS

Cary Rogers
Education Program Consultant
Kansas State Department of Education

Kansas leads the world in the success of each student.
TEACHERS PERSPECTIVE

- Performance category
- Typical skills of performance level
- Student learning profile
- Strengths and needs
  - Specific skills for PLAAFP
  - Next steps
  - Planning and monitoring
STATE PERSPECTIVE

- Skill specific data for present levels
- Using score reports when reviewing DLM participation guidelines each year
- Students performing at target or advanced in multiple subject areas
Discussion and Questions